MINUTES
JOINT SAND CITY COUNCIL AND SUCCESSOR AGENCY
OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Regular Meeting – November 6, 2018
5:30 P.M.
CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

Mayor Carbone opened the meeting at 5:32 p.m.

Invocation was led by Reverend Jason Yarbrough.

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Police Chief Brian Ferrante.

Present:
Mayor Mary Ann Carbone
Vice Mayor Blackwelder
Council Member Hubler
Council Member Hawthorne

Staff:
Vibeke Norgaard, City Attorney
Leon Gomez, City Engineer
Brian Ferrante, Police Chief
Charles Pooler, City Planner
Connie Horca, Deputy City Clerk/Admin. Assistant

AGENDA ITEM 4, ANNOUNCEMENTS BY MAYOR AND CITY ADMINISTRATOR

Mayor Carbone announced that her report of activities should be available at the next Council meeting.

AGENDA ITEM 5, PUBLIC COMMENT

5:35 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

Sand City resident Brian Clark commented on the resolution approved for Camp Transformation and that he was unclear of some conditions regarding parking and that several owners of the three adjacent properties know that the applicants proposed business would have a detrimental impact to their property values. He provided a handout on the Petition in Opposition to the Proposed Fitness Training Facility and commented on issues contained within the handout.

5:39 P.M. Floor closed to Public Comment.

AGENDA ITEM 6, CONSENT CALENDAR
A. There was no discussion of the Sand City Council Meeting Minutes, October 16, 2018.

B. There was no discussion of the City Resolution approving a Service Agreement with SLV Management (Stephen Vagnini) for the 2019 West End Celebration (WEC).

C. Approval of City Resolution adopting New Terms and Benefits for Represented Members of the Sand City Police Officers Association for Fiscal Year 2018/2019. This item was pulled from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration by the City Council.

D. There was no discussion of the City Resolution recognizing the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) on their 50th Anniversary and Years of Service to the Community.

E. There was no discussion of the City Resolution declaring a Shelter Crisis pursuant to SB 850 (Chapter 48, Statutes of 2018 and Government Code §8698.2).

F. There was no discussion of the Public Works Monthly Report, October 2018.

G. There was no discussion of the City Donation/Contribution to Central Coast Bombers Baseball for $500.

Motion to approve the Consent Calendar items 6A-B & 6D-G was made by Council Member Hawthorne, seconded by Council Member Hubler. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne, Hubler. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN None. Motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM 7, CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS PULLED FROM CONSENT CALENDAR

Item 6C was pulled and will be considered following the presentation.

Due to the unavailability of Mr. Houlemard and Ms. Damon, the Mayor moved up Public Hearings, Agenda items 9A & 9B regarding the Update on the Regional Development Impact Fees, and the Conditional Use and Coastal Development Permits regarding the South of Tioga project.

AGENDA ITEM 9, PUBLIC HEARING

A. Consideration of City Resolution Updating the Regional Development Impact Fees

City Planner Charles Pooler reported that in 2008 the City Council along with the Transportation Agency of Monterey County (TAMC), several other cities, and the County of Monterey entered into a Joint Powers Agreement
Establishing the Regional Development Impact Fee Agency (RDIF) whose role is to coordinate the activities of the JPA regarding the collection, transmission, and expenditure of the RDIF. These fees would fund the cost of regional transportation improvements necessary to accommodate new development of land within the County. The RDIF schedule was approved by Sand City in 2013, and in its last 2018 update, a new zone was added to include the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) area to its current program that consists of four zones. The updated program is projected to generate $121 million in impact fee revenues County-wide through the year 2035. This estimate is dependent upon the type, location, and pace of new development throughout the County. Staff recommends approval of the resolution to update the RDIF fee schedule.

5:46 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

There was no comment from the Public.

5:46 P.M. Floor closed to Public Comment.

Motion to approve the City Resolution by title, updating the Regional Development Impact Fees Project was made by Council Member Hubler, seconded by Council Member Hawthorne. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne, Hubler. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: None. Motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM 9, PUBLIC HEARING

{Council Member Hubler stepped down from the dais due to a possible conflict of interest as an employee of a business located within 500 feet of the subject property}

B. Consideration of City RESOLUTIONS of Conditional Use Permits and Coastal Development Permits for a 216 Room Hotel Project within the South Of Tioga Project area roughly bounded by Tioga Avenue to the northeast, California Avenue to the southeast, East Avenue to southwest, and the un-built Merle Street right-of-way to the northwest, consisting of Assessor Parcels: 011-122-002, 003, 004, 005, 010, 011, 023, 024, 025, 026, 032, 038, 039, 040, 041; 011-123-001, 004,005, 006, 007, 008, 009, 011, 022, 023, 024, 025, 026; 011-134-011; 011-135-001, 014, 015, 016, 023, 024; 011-136-007, 012, 024; and 011-186-021, 038, 039

City Planner Charles Pooler reported that the overall South of Tioga Project proposed by DBO Development included a hotel and residential units on six new parcels which received City Council approval of the Vesting Tentative Map, Mitigation Monitoring Program, and certification of the Environmental Impact Report on June 5, 2018. Parcel H1 is proposed for a 216 guest room hotel, and parcels H1A, H2, and H2A will provide parking, landscaping, and utilities to serve the hotel. The draft permits presented to the City Council included conditions recommended by the
Planning Department staff and the City’s Design Review Committee (DRC) related to parking, architecture, the need to acquire two additional parcels within the area and deed restrictions to preserve parking, utilities, and infrastructure. He continued to report on the hotel amenities that would include a lobby/reception area, administrative offices, and fitness rooms to name a few. A restaurant had been discussed but was not included as part of the approval of the Vesting Tentative Map (VTM). The developer has stated that the select service hotel does not include what can be considered a “full-scale” restaurant, but rather a dining area that serves breakfast to its guests which can be repurposed for other uses after breakfast, or used to serve appetizers in the evening.

The City’s zoning code requires one parking space for each hotel room. The site design provides a total of 227 spaces, exceeding the zoning’s minimum requirement by 11 spaces. Most of the spaces would be located on parcel H2. Staff surveyed 3 hotels and their parking requirements/ratios and found that the City’s 1:1 ratio would be adequate to meet the hotel's demand considering the staggered times that employees and/or guests would be on-site. Staff recommends requesting the hotel management to participate with Monterey-Salinas Transit (MST), TAMC, and/or other public transportation agencies in providing alternative transportation and group discount programs for all hotels employees.

The designer provided a conceptual landscape plan that is not final, to include trees clustered together in groups of three or more where possible. The City’s Public Works Foreman who has substantial experience has reviewed the proposed landscaping design and has made his recommendations of which trees should be considered and incorporated into the landscape plans.

A public hearing meeting held on Friday, October 26, 2018 was held by the Design Review Committee to consider the hotel's architectural design elements. The DRC agreed with the materials/colors proposed, but expressed concerns regarding an overuse of materials along the same wall plane and that the roof line needed slightly more articulation. The DRC’s consensus was to support the overall design with modifications to address their concerns subject to final DRC-Subcommittee review prior to the submission of construction documents. The conditions of the draft Permits/Resolutions incorporate the recommendations of the DRC.

The hotel would need a minimum of 21.6 AF/yr which will be the bulk of the water demand. This does not include the service or common area, kitchen and dining facilities, landscaping or swimming pool. Until more information is provided Staff is unable to accurately calculate the water demand. Approximately 156 AF/yr is available for allocation from the desalination plant, which is sufficient to accommodate the estimated water demand for the entire South of Tioga project. As a requirement of the VTM a final Storm Water Control Plan will be required and subject to City Engineer review and approval prior to issuance of construction permits.
Mr. Pooler summarized the concept of how the stormwater will be collected and/or directed to either an underground filtration infrastructure or a bio-retention percolation system.

The attached resolutions include land entitlement permits for the hotels parcels (H1, H1A, H2 & H2A) within the South of Tioga area. These entitlements include the coastal development permits for Parcels H1A and H2A, and the conditional use permits for Parcels H1 & H2. Staff recommends approval of each resolution/entitlement permit presented for the hotel project with the conditions/terms proposed by staff and the Design Review Committee.

Mr. Tom Jacobson of Lodging Dynamics Hospitality Group reported that making a hotel as part of the community is important as well as creating it to become a drive memory experience where people will remember to come back too it. He presented a conceptual rendering of the project to the Council with the changes that the Design Review Committee recommended such as raising the parapet and changes to the textures of the exterior wall planes so that it pop outs to create a different dimension. This site would bring both transient and extended stay individuals to the hotel. Research depicts that transient guests would like to have a bistro and/or Starbucks and a café. Extended stay people want a little bit more than that, and that's where breakfast is provided and something that would be offered. Our experience in operating hotels would welcome locals to come in and use the café/bistro because they will help us animate the space and create an experience that when guests come to stay they really like the place and want to come back.

Mr. Jacobson requested that under the Architecture Section D1, page 9A-48 he spoke with the City Planner to amend that section. Mr. Pooler explained that the section addressed conditions expressing three main concerns from the DRC, which was the parapet, the up and downs of using the same material on the wall planes, and integration of windows as to not be flat with the wall surface. The amendment to the permit would strike out the language “but not necessarily limited too”. Basically, the condition does address the materials being used and the recession of the window, so the language as stated is not necessary as part of permit conditions.

Council Member Hawthorne commented that when the first conceptual drawings were presented in April to the Design Review Committee, the Committee was not interested and found the original design unfavorable; however, they came back with a new design in a short period of time it was amazing to see the difference. It will be a wonderful hotel when it is completed.

Council Member Blackwelder commented that the hotel would be a statement of what Sand City is, and should embody the art, community, and culture of the City. He further expressed his appreciation on how the
principal architect tries to maintain connectivity with the West End of the City.

There was further discussion regarding the second hotel design, the placement of the courtyard, the hotel being split into two buildings, and the choice of, and species of trees that would be used. Further recommendations for light fixtures and bulbs would be forthcoming.

6:47 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

Fred Watson, Professor of Environmental Science at California State University Monterey Bay commented that the Council should not proceed with approval until the Council and public have seen an analysis of the consistency of the perimeter of the applicable legislation and policy contained within the Coastal Act. An analysis of the coastal development permit with respect to the Local Coastal Program (LCP) and the Coastal Act would reveal several pertinent points relating to parking, coastal access landscaping, and environmentally sensitive habitat. He addressed Section 2.3.11 of the Local Coastal Plan’s parking requirements and the United States Coastal Act Section 2 for development in areas adjacent to environmentally sensitive habitat area which was designed to prevent impacts that would significantly degrade such areas. He spoke to the native endangered plant species in the area that are cited in the Federal Register, and concluded that approval of the permits would be premature.

Council Member Hawthorne remarked that all of Mr. Watson’s concerns have been addressed at previous council meetings regarding native endangered species, the Coastal Act, and other issues he had mentioned.

Sand City resident Heather Cunningham commented that she has lived in Sand City for 5 years, has heard about the project and suggested creating native plants for the habitat corridors as part of the landscape, as well as edible landscaping that can be harvested for the hotel’s use. She added that a skate board park may be nice in front of the hotel, and that it would be great to have CSUMB students participate in the landscaping of the hotel.

Nickki Nedeff, President of the local Monterey Bay Chapter of the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) requested to be added to all of the City’s outreach and public notice lists, and to receive information about this project and any other potential development projects within the city limits. She thanked Staff for their timely response to her public records request of the Habitat Conservation Plan. She spoke to the the two resolutions before Council for approval tonight with coastal development permit applications pending for the South of Tioga project in the areas that are within the coastal zone. The City needs to have a consistency analysis in order to move forward to make sure that items which are specified like parking, public access, and landscaping are consistent with
the City’s LCP. She expressed her approval for the use of native plants in the landscaping design of the hotel as native coastal dune plants are required in the coastal zone. She mentioned that the CNPS would be happy to assist the City in suggesting potential landscaping options.

Sand City resident Tom Batcha commented on the placement of the courtyard in the design concept of the hotel, the wind factors, and overall esthetic appeal of the hotel.

Brian Clark, Sand City resident commented on the traffic impacts associated with the project. Now would be the time to instill a city-wide plan. He further spoke to the landscaping, parklets, and possible incorporation of sculpture walkways with art along the perimeter. Mr. Davis, who could not be present asked that he provide comments regarding roof top terraces and the use of modular construction.

City Planner Pooler commented that the projects zoning is CZ-C4, which was created when the shopping centers were developed. The zoning code reiterates what is in the LCP that provides location performance standards for regional commercial centers which includes retail service general commercial uses designed to reflect historical, cultural, and physical character of the area. The hotel project does this under permitted uses subject to a coastal development permit. The hotel is consistent with the coastal zones that we have on the west side of the freeway, which the city has worked extensively with a number of other agencies in terms of providing open space. It is also consistent with the LCP and the coastal zone requirements. Mr. Pooler addressed the habitat preserve area and the timeline of approval of the draft Habitat Conservation Plan. This project is addressing the habitat issue and is not within proximity to the poor and endangered species. The draft HCP is going to address the concerns of the particular species.

Richard James with EMC Planning Group referred to the slide regarding the location of the habitat area, and added that the project would be replacing public access with a new street and parklets.

Council Member Hawthorne commented that the project area is blighted and the project will provide more appeal and rid the City of old buildings that are quite dangerous and falling down. The project will provide something significant and worthwhile for the city. The parking and proposal of a new building and trying to preserve the habitat have all been addressed.

Council Member Blackwelder added that the developer should consider using quality materials due to the coastal environment.
City Planner Pooler reiterated the changes to the conditional use permit regarding the language "but not necessarily limited to" that will be removed as requested by the applicant.

1) **Approving Conditional Use Permit 623 for Parcel H1 of the South of Tioga Development Project**

Motion to approve the City Resolution by title, as amended; approving Conditional Use Permit 623 for Parcel H1 of the South of Tioga Development Project was made by Council Member Blackwelder seconded by Council Member Hawthorne. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: Council Member Hubler. Motion carried.

2) **Approving Conditional Use Permit 624 for Parcel H2 of the South of Tioga Development Project**

Motion to approve the City Resolution approving Conditional Use Permit 624 for Parcel H2 of the South of Tioga Development Project was made by Council Member Hawthorne, seconded by Council Member Blackwelder. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: Council Member Hubler. Motion carried.

3) **Approving Coastal Development Permit 18-01 for Parcel H1A of the South of Tioga Development Project**

Motion to approve the City Resolution by title, approving Coastal Development Permit 18-01 for Parcel H1A of the South of Tioga Development Project was made by Council Member Blackwelder seconded by Council Member Hawthorne. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: Council Member Hubler. Motion carried.

4) **Approving Coastal Development Permit 18-02 for Parcel H2A of the South of Tioga Development Project**

Motion to approve the City Resolution approving Coastal Development Permit 18-02 for Parcel H2A of the South of Tioga Development Project was made by Council Member Blackwelder, seconded by Council Member Hawthorne. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: Council Member Hubler. Motion carried.

{Council Member Hubler returned to the dais}

**AGENDA ITEM 8, PRESENTATION**
A. Presentation by Sheri Damon Prevailing Wage Coordinator and Michael Houlemard Executive Director of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) on Update of FORA's Transition Plan and Council discussion and consideration of same {10 minutes}

Micheal Houlemard Executive Director of the Fort Ord Reuse Authority (FORA) commented that the transition planning was created from the closure of the former Fort Ord, and a single entity was formed to assist in the regions underlying efforts in the presence of the military community, and to recognize the lost jobs. FORA is not a growth program, but rather a replacement program to recover what was lost. He introduced Shari Damon who will provide a more comprehensive report.

Shari Damon reported on the process of the draft transition plan that is required to be completed within a certain timeline. The plan is supposed to assign assets and liabilities, designate responsible successor entities, and provide a schedule of remaining obligations which must be done and transmitted to LAFCO by December 30, 2018. She reported on the most recent transition plan which takes care of the administrative liabilities that is addressed by a trust fund, and the resolution that FORA is proposing. Over the years, FORA was instrumental in the creation of regional projects with an expenditure of over eight million dollars in infrastructure improvements. These projects include stoplights along Reservation Road, bike lanes along the roads, Highway 68 improvements, expansion of General Jim Moore, and Second Avenue.

The resolution includes the findings, where assets are allocated, and how land use jurisdiction would share in the transition. When funding goes away, the revenue sharing also ceases. Revenue that goes towards capital improvements would also go away. FORA is supposed to adopt the plan, LAFCO would implement and oversee the transition plan. There are contracts in place and insurance policies, and hundreds of agreements that are part of this plan. It’s the most complicated dissolution in the United States.

There was discussion regarding early cleanup projects, land use controls and a process that was developed in conjunction with the army, water remediation, and FORA’s involvement in the process.

7:30 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

Chris Fitz of Landwatch Monterey County urged the Council to vote against the extension of the Fort Ord Base Reuse Plan and to let it sunset in 2020. The City of Sand City doesn’t need controversy about its involvement with FORA’s transition plan. There are other important projects that Sand City is involved with and doesn’t need to be second guessing the cities that have the most at stake. The cities of Seaside and Marina and Monterey County would do a better job of striking good
agreements that are in the benefit of all, and Sand City already has a lot on its plate. I would urge you to be good neighbors to the Cities of Marina and Seaside to look for their guidance about details that relate to this transition plan.

Ms. Damon commented that the City of Monterey did vote for a limited extension of FORA to implement the transition plan. To clarify the comment regarding beaurecratic waste, the $6 million spent for overhead at FORA is patently false, and funds were allocated towards staff expense, operational funds used to manage contracts, and implementation of the environmental protection habitat conservation program. Another important thing that FORA has accomplished was providing housing and although only 1,000 units have been built, about 4,000 have been approved. One of the biggest costs to this region is collection of fees from those housing units that have been approved already because they don’t pay new fees in the absence of agreements with the developers. There are two flagship projects, campus town and the main gate project. Those 2 projects, provide housing units that are designed to be affordable to residents in Monterey County. Ms. Damon commented on technology that would bring synergy together for the protection of the environment, economic delopment, and diversification of different industries in the area.

7:44 P.M.Floor closed to Public Comment.

Mayor Carbone commented that Sand City is not a land holding jurisdiction even though the City has a member representative to FORA. She requested that the Council advise what they would like her to do and whether they consent to her voting on the transition plan. She has been working with the City Attorney regarding the issues and what is happening with FORA. Sand City will be well protected through this transition and that any financial obligations should be provided by future property tax revenues from the land holding jurisdictions.

Council Member Hawthorne added that the Mayor would make a wise decision on behalf of Sand City and would be the best person to represent the City.

The Mayor thanked the Mr. Houlemard and Ms. Damon for their presentation and adjourned for a brief recess.

AGENDA ITEM 6C CONSENT CALENDAR

Approval of City Resolution adopting New Terms and Benefits for Represented Members of the Sand City Police Officers Association for Fiscal Year 2018/2019. This item was pulled from the Consent Calendar for separate consideration.

Human Resources Consultant Michael McCarthy commented that Staff
met with the Police Officers Association over the course of several months and had very positive interactions with the group. Based on Council guidance and meetings with the POA, an agreement was reached that would be effective for the remainder of this fiscal year regarding wages. The agreement would provide a 3% salary increase for police officers, and a 4% salary increase for sergeants and the commander. A very exciting agreement was reached with retiree medical where new officers retiree medical program would end, except for lateral officers. These were the basics of our agreement which was based on Council guidance and the police officers vote.

7:53 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

There was no comment from the Public.

7:53 P.M. Floor closed to Public Comment.

Motion to approve the City Resolution by title, adopting New Terms and Benefits for Represented Members of the Sand City Police Officers Association for Fiscal Year 2018/2019 was made by Council Member Blackwelder, seconded by Council Member Hubler. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne, Hubler. NOES: None. ABSENT None. ABSTAIN: None. Motion carried.

AGENDA ITEM 10, OLD BUSINESS

A Engineering and Public Works Department Summary Report including the Sand City Water Supply Project, Storm Water Management Program, City Projects: West Bay St. Coastal Access Repair Project, Carroll Property Parking, TAMC Parcel Landscaping Project, Calabrese Park Improvement Project, Private Development Projects including the South of Tioga Project, and Grant Updates. Community Development and Planning Department updates by the City Administrator/City Planner

City Engineer Leon Gomez reported that the desalination plant produced 15.7 acre feet for the month of October. The only issue is the abnormally higher than average levels of E coli at the Bay well location, which is not a call for concern. Cal-Am is contacting Monterey One Water to see if there is a potential of any leaking sewer lines in the area. An update will be provided to the Council once Staff receives communciation from Cal-Am. The decision at the department head meeting was to apprise the interim city administrator of the project and what the options are for moving forward.

Staff provided updates to PG&E for their development of an estimate for undergrounding overhead utilities within the footprint of Contra Costa and Catalina Street project. Communication was received from PG&E
yesterday and Staff should be receiving those estimates in a couple of weeks.

The Southern Monterey Peninsula Integrated Water Management program Regional Water Management Group held a meeting last Thursday. Staff submitted a letter of interest in joining on behalf of the City. The purpose for joining this regional water management group, is that any city projects can vie for potential state grant funding to fund those projects whether it is the construction of our Prop 1 projects on Contra Costa, funding the future well construction as part of the water supply project and for any project that has a water resource characteristic or related. The first round of funding for the Monterey Peninsula area is in the vicinity of $3.8 to $4.2 million and the City has potential in requesting some of the grant funding.

This is the first year that the City has had storm water measures installed as part of the Bungalows project. The City’s municipal permit requires that we obtain annual operation maintenance verification of those facilities. Staff sent out reminder letters in early October to property owners at the Bungalows and have received approximately 70% maintenance verification back.

A conditional building permit for construction of the Dayton project was issued. The applicant understands that an encroachment permit for the public improvements will not be issued until those public improvement designs are finalized for electricity and utilities.

Staff was informed by the City Clerk that the City has to establish a procurement protocol in order to receive any Federal funding. Funds received from FEMA can not be used until a procurement policy is established and adopted by resolution.

The final dollar amount for grant funding for the Calabrese Park Improvement project will be determined by the County and they have advised Staff to wait for approximately 30 days before any work commences or the City may risk not receiving funds for any work performed before we receive the green light from the County to proceed.

On behalf of the applicant for the Montgomery live work project, the project architect requested a permit for preliminary site grading and Staff has coordinated with them regarding those requirements.

AGENDA ITEM 11, NEW BUSINESS

A. Approval of City RESOLUTION Authorizing the Mayor to Execute an Agreement With Meurer Municipal Consulting LLC to Develop Materials for Securing Executive Recruitment
Mayor Carbone reported that the former city administrator resigned effective September 29, 2018. Upon the advice of the former City Attorney Jim Heisinger he suggested that the City contact former City of Monterey’s City Manager Fred Meurer to proceed with a process to locate a new city administrator. Mr. Meurer has exceptionally broad experience in city administration and served as the City of Monterey’s City Manager for approximately 23 years. Since his retirement he has consulted for the City of Carmel by advising its city administrator. He has offered to provide 80 hours of consulting work at a rate of $150 per hour to identify the city’s ideal requirements for its new city administrator and to develop recruitment materials. Financial impacts of the contract would be for $12,000. Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution.

8:08 P.M. Floor opened for Public Comment.

There was no comment from the Public.

8:08 P.M. Floor closed to Public Comment.

Motion to approve the City Resolution by title, authorizing the Mayor to Execute an Agreement with Meurer Municipal Consulting LLC to Develop Materials for Securing Executive Recruitment was made by Council Member Hawthorne, seconded by Council Member Hubler. AYES: Council Members Blackwelder, Carbone, Hawthorne, Hubler. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. ABSTAIN: Motion carried.

B. Comments by Council Members on Meeting and Items of Interest to Sand City

Council Member Hawthorne commented that an election was held tonight and results should be forthcoming later in the evening.

C. Upcoming Meetings/Events

There were no RSVP’s from the City Council. The Deputy City Clerk reminded the Council of the upcoming Tree Lighting Ceremony to be held on Friday, November 30, 2018 at 6:00 P.M.

AGENDA ITEM 12, ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn the City Council meeting was made by Council Member Blackwelder, seconded by Council Member Hubler to the next regularly scheduled Council meeting on Tuesday, November 20, 2018 at 5:30 p.m. There was consensus of the Council to adjourn the meeting at 8:10 p.m.